Details of the proposed Kinnelhead Wind Farm are provided below for comment. The grey sections should be completed to inform the scope for the assessment, including determining if appropriate to scope out the proposal from EIA.

Consultee Details	
Consultee Organisation	
Officer Name	
Officer Phone Number	
Officer Email	
Date	

Introduction			
Project Name	Kinnelhead Wind Farm		
Project Location (provide a red line plan of the site boundary)			<image/>
Development type(s)	Onshore Wind Farm		Power Output (MW)
(please tick)	Onshore Wind Farm plus solar		
	Onshore Wind Farm plus BESS	х	50MW+
	Onshore Wind Farm plus BESS and solar		

Development Lifecyle	
Construction to commence in	To be confirmed
Construction period length	18 – 24 months
Length of Operational Life	50 years

Consultees	
Trunk Road	Transport Scotland
Local Roads	Dumfries & Galloway Council South Lanarkshire Council
Others	n/a

Means of Access:	
Construction access	
Junction Location	Access for the Proposed Development will be taken directly from the A701 using the Ae Forest Timber haulage road junction. Loads will then proceed to the proposed turbine locations using upgraded forestry, estate and new access tracks.
Proposed Construction Access	The proposed access on the A701 has been previously used for wind farm deliveries in the past (Minnygap and Harestanes) and is proposed to be used by the proposed Rivox and Daer Wind Farm schemes.
Is the construction access the same as the	Yes
operational access?	
If no, please advise:	n/a
Road Number	A701
Junction Location	
Proposed Operational Access	As noted above

Relevant Policy & Guidance

- Transport Assessment Guidance (Transport Scotland, 2012)
- Environmental Assessment of Traffic and Movement (Institute of Environmental Management & Assessment (IEMA), 2023)

Other relevant policy documents that the local authority and trunk road agencies require to be referenced and reviewed in the assessment

- 1)
- 2)
- 3)
- 4)

Baseline Conditions		Consultee Comments
Detail baseline econose for	National Cycle Davits (NCD) 74 Creture	/ Approval
Detail baseline sources for active travel network affected by development traffic	National Cycle Route (NCR) 74 Gretna – Glasgow runs along the A701 between the junction of the A701 / B7076 to the south of Beattock, through to the B7076 to the north of Junction 15 of the M74. The route is segregated from the A701 to the south of Beattock, however it continues as a painted cycle lane on the B7076 to the north of the Junction 15 roundabout. The Dumfries and Galloway Council cycle map indicates that the A701 between Beattock and Moffat is a recognised cycle and pedestrian link. A separate shared footway / cycleway	Agreed Comment
	is provided between the two settlements. There are two Core Paths recorded by Dumfries and Galloway Council that may cross potential access routes to the Proposed Development site. These are: • The Annandale Way; and • The Southern Upland Way.	
	Both routes cross the construction access route on the off-road section of the route. They cross the public road network on the A701 near Beattock, however the crossing is grade separated and no physical interaction at this location between users is possible.	

Pell Frischmann

	1	
Detail baseline road links to be included in the assessment	 The A701 between Moffat and St Anns; The M74 between Junctions 16 and 16; and B7076 between M74 Junctions 14 and 15. 	Comment
Detail proposed road survey sources	Baseline traffic count data will be obtained from new Automatic Traffic Count (ATC) surveys located on the B7076 to the north of Junction 15 of the M74. Further traffic data for the study area road network will be obtained from UK Government Department for Transport (DfT) traffic count data and the Traffic Scotland database. National Road Traffic Forecast (NRTF) Low Traffic Growth assumptions will be used to provide a common future year baseline to coincide with the expected construction traffic peak.	
Detail proposed road safety data sources	Traffic accident data will be obtained from Crashmap UK for the study network to inform the accident review for the immediate road study area. Five years of data will be collated for the roads leading from Junction 15 of the M74 to the site access junction	Agreed Comment
What is the future year of assessment Traffic growth assumption	TBC Low NRTF	Agreed Comment

Assessment Methodology – Scoping Out			
		Consultee Comments / Approval	
Is the traffic generation in construction below 100 movement (50 in and 50 out) per day at peak? ¹	No		
Is transport to be scoped out of the assessment?	No	Does the consultee agree? Yes No	
Consultee Comments			

Assessment Methodology					
					Consultee Comments / Approval
 upon the following criter Rule 1: Include has predicted to increasing of HGVs 30%); and Rule 2: Include as traffic flows are particles. 	assessment will be undertake ia: ighway links where traffic flow ease by more than 30% (or wh is predicted to increase by mo ny other specifically sensitive predicted to increase by 10% of Iternative assessment method	vs are here the ore tha e areas or more	e n where 2.	Does t agree Yes No	
The assessment will be	Transport Assessment	x	Agreed	1 🗆	
accompanied by the following as appendices:	Abnormal Load Survey	x	Comm	nent	
The assessment will include details of the	Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)	x	Agreed	d 🗆	
following:	Staff Travel Plan Visual Road Condition Review	x			
Consultee comments/ a	lternative productions:				

¹ As per Transport Scotland Transport Assessment Guidance, a Transport Assessment is required where there are more than 100 vehicle movements per day (<u>https://www.transport.gov.scot/media/4589/planning_reform_-_dpmtag_-</u> development_management_dpmtag_ref__17__-_transport_assessment_guidance_final_-_june_2012.pdf)

Abnormal Loads		
Are any abnormal loads propo development?	osed as part of the proposed	Yes
Describe proposed abnormal load access route(s)	M8, M74, join A701 at M74 Junction 14 junction.	4, B7076, A701 to site access
Describe the approximate number of abnormal loads travelling to site		Circa 286
Is consultation with structure authorities / operators proposed?		Yes

Impact Assessment			
			Consultee Comments / Approval
Impacts on sensitive receptors will be reviewed on the following where the assessment criteria have been met or exceeded:	Study area road users Residents living alongside study area roads Specific sensitive receptors Users of Core Paths / Recreational Paths within the site boundary	× × × X	Agreed Comment
Impacts considered will include	Severance; Driver delay; Pedestrian delay; Pedestrian amenity; Fear and intimidation; Accidents and safety; and Abnormal loads.	x x x x x x	Agreed 🗆
Comment:			

Pell Frischmann

Cumulative Assessment			
 Cumulative Assessment Cumulative development assessments will be undertaken for schemes that have met the following criteria: Schemes that have planning consent Schemes where traffic data is publicly available and approved by the planning authority Schemes where traffic flows exceed a 10% increase in recorded traffic flows. 		Consultee Comments / Approval	
Please detail any cumulative n/a schemes to be included:		Does the consultee agree? Yes No	
Consultee comments, including any other schemes to be included:			

Operational & Decommissioning Assessment					
What is the likely operational traffic	4 per day			Consultee Comments	
flow per day once the site is fully				/ Approval	
operational					
Is an operational traffic	No	Does the consultee agree?			
assessment proposed (note		Yes 🗆			
typically scoped out as standard)		No 🗆			
Consultee comments (if required):					
Will the decommissioning phase traffic be less than Yes					
construction?					
Please provide justification to	Elements of the ad	the access track network and other site			
support this	infrastructure will	e will remain to support future agricultural and			
	forestry uses				
Is a decommissioning traffic	No	D	oes	the consultee agree?	
assessment proposed (note		Ye	es [
typically scoped out as standard)		N	o [
Consultee comments (if required):					

Other Consultee Comments

Any other points the consultee wishes to raise:

Can the consultee comment on likely planning conditions that could be attached to the application?